

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2003) KLR VOL 11 PART 167 pp. 2427 - 2638

NOVEMBER 2003

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Awuse v. Odili p. 2427
2. Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 1) p. 2453
3. Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA
2. Nwuche v. Ebeku p. 2625 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 11 KLR**

APPEALS - Application - Alternative prayers - Sustainability - Since the prayers are deemed to have been abandoned by appellants' counsel - It was unnecessary for Court of Appeal to make finding thereon (H5) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 1) p. 2453

APPEALS - Injunctions - Grant - Past event - Where the event sought to be prevented has actually taken place - Court will not grant injunction - As doing so will amount to mere academic exercise (H4) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495

APPEALS - Respondents - Role of - Respondent defends the judgment or ruling appealed against - But if he wishes to challenge same - He has to file a cross - appeal (H1) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 1) p. 2453

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Electoral Act - By s. 139 1999 Constitution - National Assembly is empowered to enact the Act - That regulates elections generally (H2) Buhari v. Obasanjo p. (No. 2) 2495

ELECTION PETITIONS - Appeals - Necessary party - Under the Electoral Act 2002 - Petitioner is not obliged to make a successful or unsuccessful candidate or political party a respondent (H2) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 1) p. 2453

ELECTION PETITIONS - Filing - Proper party - By s. 133(1) petition can be presented by candidate - Or political party which participated at election - Or by both together (H4) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 1) p. 2453

ELECTION PETITIONS - Gubernatorial elections - Appeals - Jurisdiction - Supreme Court has no jurisdiction - Since Court of Appeal is the final apex court in such appeals (H2) Awuse v. Odili p. 2427

ELECTION PETITIONS - Gubernatorial elections - Final court - By s. 246(3) 1999 constitution - Decision of Court of Appeal is final - In respect of appeals arising from such petitions (H1) Awuse v. Odili p. 2427

ELECTION PETITIONS - Words & phrases - "Conduct" - Meaning - In s.133(2) Electoral Act 2002 - The word means the manner of directing election (H3) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 1) p. 2453

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Election petitions - Obiter dictum - Effect - Obih v. Mbakwe - The expression of Obaseki JSC in that case law - Is mere obiter dictum - That was never in issue before the court (H1) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495

JURISPRUDENCE - Obiter dicta - Weight - Obiter dicta are not conclusive authority - But are mere statements by the way - That have no binding effect on a case (H3) Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495

COURT OF APPEAL

APPEALS - Court - Findings - A party aggrieved by conclusion of court on main issue - Can appeal against such conclusion - Or against the reasoning leading to it (H1) Nwuche v. Ebeku p. 2625 CA

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - 1999 Constitution s. 66(1)(h) - Interpretation - Tribunal was wrong as there is no provision in the section - That makes it mandatory that Administrative Panel of Inquiry must be set up by law (H6) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Interpretation - "Set up under the Tribunals of Inquiry Act" - The words apply to class of tribunals set up under the Act - And do not apply to administrative panel (H5) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

DOCUMENTS - Public document - Admissibility - Once public document is certified and signed - It is admissible on its mere production - And it is unnecessary to prove custody (H8) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

DOCUMENTS - Public document - Certified true copy - Fees - Legal

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 11 KLR CA**

requirements - By s. 111(1) Evidence Act - Certification should contain date - Name and official title of officer concerned - And sealed when authorized (H2) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

DOCUMENTS - Public documents - Admissibility - By s. 93 Evidence Act - Document may be proved by primary or secondary evidence - Hence it is not only certified copy that is admissible (H9) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

DOCUMENTS - Public documents - Proof - By s. 112 Evidence Act - A certified true copy of such document may be produced - In proof of its contents (H1) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

DOCUMENTS - Signature - Proof - By s. 108(1) Evidence Act - The tribunal ought to compare signature on exhibit A - With that on exhibit I - And then make finding (H3) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Appeal - Judgment - Proper order - Since 1st respondent is disqualified by 1999 Constitution s. 66(1)(h) - Court must make an order nullifying the election (H11) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Locus standi - Objection to - Tribunal should have limited itself to the petition - In resolving issue of appellant's locus - Rather than relying on affidavit evidence (H2) Nwuche v. Ebeku p. 2625 CA

ESTOPPEL - Issue estoppel - Determination - It must be considered inter alia whether parties are same - And whether the issues are material to cause of action in previous and latter case (H10) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

TRIBUNALS - Election Petition Tribunal - Jurisdiction - By s. 66(1)(h) 1999 Constitution - The tribunal lacks power to inquire into how the panel of inquiry arrived at its decision (H4) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

TRIBUNALS - Evidence - Fraud - Allegation of - Proof - Appellant is

required to prove the allegation - By sufficient cogent and credible evidence - And not with a particular document (H7) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 135 & 239
Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, s. 213 Awuse
v. Odili p. 2427

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, ss. 233, 246
& 318 Awuse v. Odili p. 2427

Electoral Act 2002, s. 138 Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495, s. 4
Awuse v. Odili p. 2427, ss. 36, 129, 133 & 136 Buhari v. Obasanjo
(No. 1) p. 2453

Evidence Act, s. 137 Buhari v. Obasanjo (No. 2) p. 2495

Local Government Election Decree No. 37 of 1987, s. 36 Awuse v.
Odili p. 2427

COURT OF APPEAL

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 66(1)(h), 285(1)
Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA

Electoral Act 2002, ss. 23, 25, para. 49 of 1st Schedule Nwuche v.
Ebeku p. 2625 CA

Evidence Act Cap. 112 LFN 1990, ss. 90, 93, 94(1), 95, 97(1),
108(1), 109, 111(1), 112, 114(1) Daggash v. Bulama p. 2537 CA